This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



2813 if

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	Towns on Thomas T. Norman
TAKAO YONEHARA, ET AL. Application No.: 10/059,171	;) ;)	Examiner: Thanh T. Nguyen Group Art Unit: 2813
Filed: January 31, 2002	;	
For: METHOD OF MANUFACTURING A THIN-FILM SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE USED FOR A DISPLAY REGION AND PERIPHERAL CIRCUIT REGION (As Amended)	; ; ;)	June 15, 2004

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

LETTER

Sir:

Enclosed for the Examiner's consideration is a copy of an Office Action dated March 1, 2004, in co-pending U.S. Application No. 10/059,144, and copies of Office Actions dated November 5, 2002, and March 27, 2003 in Application No. 10/059,116, now U.S. Patent No. 6,677,183.

The documents cited in the Office Actions were previously cited in the Information Disclosure Statements dated January 9, 2003, and May 24, 2004. In addition, U.S. Application Nos. 10/059,144 and 10/059,116, and U.S. Patent No. 6,677,183, were previously cited in the Information Disclosure Statements dated August 15, 2002, and May 24, 2004. Accordingly a Form PTO-1449 does not accompany this Letter.

No fee is believed due, however, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee which may be required in connection with this paper to Deposit Account No. 06-1205.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicants Damond E. Vadnais

Registration No. 52,310

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO 30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10112-3800 Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

DEV/vc

DC_MAIN 168849v1

Unite	7 -	1 5 2004	UNITED STATES DEWARTN United States Patent and T Address: COMMISSIONER OF P. Washington, D.C. 2023 I www.uspto.gov	rademark Office ATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FUST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/059,116	01/31/2002	Kiyofumi Sakaguchi	00862.022497	8415
5514 759	90 11/05/2002			
	K CELLA HARPER &	& SCINTO	EXAMI	NER
30 ROCKEFEL NEW YORK, N			LE, TH	AO X
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FORMAL DRAWING CORRECTION REQUIRED

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002

`		SIPE	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)
	· · · /	•	10/059),116	SAKAGUCHI ET AL.
	Office Action Summer	y JUN 1 5 2004	u xamii	ner	Art Unit
		۸ .	(UThao X	Le	2814
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this com	Munication do	bears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHO THE M - Extensi after SI - If the pi - If NO p - Failure - Any rep	PRTENED STATUTORY PERICALING DATE OF THIS COMM ions of time may be available under the prov IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this eriod for reply specified above is less than the reriod for reply is specified above, the maxim to reply within the set or extended period for oly received by the Office later than three mo patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(isions of 37 CFR 1.1 communication. iirly (30) days, a replum statutory period versely will, by statute of the mailion of the mailion of the mail of the mailion of the mail o	36(a). In no	event, however, may a reply be ti statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19</u> A	August 20	002 .	
_	This action is FINAL .			is non-final.	
1	Since this application is in cond closed in accordance with the p n of Claims	ition for allowa	ance exc	ent for formal matters in	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in t	he application			
4a	a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20 and</u>	22 is/are with	drawn fro	m consideration.	
	laim(s) 21 is/are allowed.				
6)□ C	laim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-18</u> is/are re	ejected.			
	laim(s) <u>12 and 19</u> is/are objecte				
	laim(s) are subject to res		election	requirement	
Application	Papers			1	
9)⊠ Th	e specification is objected to by	the Examiner			
10)⊠ The	e drawing(s) filed on is/a	re: a)∐ accept	ted or b)	objected to by the Exar	niner.
A	Applicant may not request that any	objection to the	drawing(s	s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a)
11) The	e proposed drawing correction f	iled on	is: a)[☐ ;	approved b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.
If	f approved, corrected drawings are	required in repl	y to this C	ffice action.	•
12)∐ The	e oath or declaration is objected	to by the Exa	miner.		
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	٠			
13)⊠ Ac	knowledgment is made of a cla	im for foreign	priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).
	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of			· , ,	
1.[Certified copies of the priori	ty documents	have bee	en received.	
2.[n No.
3.[* See		s of the priorit	y docum	ents have been received	I in this National Stage
14) Ackn	nowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic	priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. & 119(e)	(to a provisional application)
a) ∐	The translation of the foreign lands and the foreign lands are seen to the foreign lands are the foreign lands are seen as the foreign lands are the forei	anguage provi	sional ar	plication has been rece	ived
1) Notice of I	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) Paper No(s) <u>2 an</u>	<u>d 6</u> .	4) Interview Summary (Interview	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)
PTO-326 (Rev. 04		Office Actio	n Summa	ν	Part of Paper No. 7

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions \(\square\)

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-19 and 21 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that claims are not so different, thus there is no burden in examining of both group. This is not found persuasive because the Applicant has not provided a convincing argument that materially different processes would not be suitable in producing the recited device. It is submitted that the materially different processes would be suitable. Finally the search is not coexisting as evidenced by the different classes for the process and product as cited in the restriction mailed on 07/15/02.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification \(\square\$

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Drawings \(\sqrt{} \)

Figures 4A-4C should be designated by a legend such as -- Prior Art-- because only that 3. which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2814

Double Patenting v

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-7, 13-15 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of copending Application No. 10/059,144 (US Pub 2002/0100914) in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (APA).

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced co-pending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that co-pending

Art Unit: 2814

application since the referenced co-pending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Page 4

Both applications claim the method of manufacturing thin-film semiconductor device comprising a semiconductor film having a semiconductor element and/or semiconductor integrated circuit, the separation step of separating the member. But the co-pending application does not disclose the step of forming kerfs from the semiconductor film side of the member. However, the APA discloses the step of forming kerfs 19 from the semiconductor film side of the member prior to the separation step. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use the kerfs formation teaching of APA with co-pending application in order to perform the separation step.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

⁽e) the invention was described in-

⁽¹⁾ an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

Art Unit: 2814

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

7. Claims1, 8-11 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6465329 to Glenn.

Regarding to claim 1, Glenn discloses a method of manufacturing a thin-film semiconductor device, comprising: the step of preparing a member having, on a separation layer 34, a semiconductor film 3 having a semiconductor element and/or semiconductor integrated circuit (IC) 2, fig. 7, the step of forming kerfs 32 from the semiconductor film side of the member, and the separation step of, after the kerfs formation step, separating a desired region of the semiconductor element and/or semiconductor IC from the member, fig. 8.

Regarding to claims 8-11, 16-17 Glenn discloses the method wherein the kerfs 32 are formed in the semiconductor film 3, in the processed of forming the semiconductor element, wherein the kerks are formed by dicing, column 7 line 34, wherein the bottom portions thereof are located in the separation layer, wherein the desired region is formed into a plurality of chips by the separation step, fig. 7.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claim21 is allowed.
 - The prior art does not discloses all the limitations in claim 21 including forming a cracks in the separation layer to separate each of the partition chip regions from the base.

Art Unit: 2814

- 9. Claims 12 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
 - With respect to claim 12, the prior art fail to disclose all the limitation in claim 12 including the member has the separation layer and semiconductor film on a semiconductor region, and the kerfs are formed such that bottom portions thereof are located at the interface between the separation layer and the semiconductor region.
 - With respect to claim 19, the prior art fail to disclose all the limitation in claim 19 including the member is formed again using a remaining member that is left after the desired region is separated from the member.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao X Le whose telephone number is 703-306-0208. The examiner can normally be reached on M-f from 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M Fahmy can be reached on 703-308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Thao X. Le October 28, 2002

> PHAT X. CAO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application/Control No. 10/059,116 Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination SAKAGUCHI ET AL. Examiner Art Unit Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS Document Number Date Name Classification Country Code-Number-Kind Code MM-YYYY US-6465329 10-2002 Glenn Α 438/462 В US-2002/0076904 06-2002 Imler, William R. 438/462 С US-6,075,280 06-2000 Yung et al. 257/620 D US-6,186,384 02-2001 Sawada, Hiroshi 225/2 Ε US-6,136,668 10-2000 Tamaki et al. 438/462 F US-2002/0100941 08-2002 Yonehara et al. 257/98 US-G Н US-USı US-J US-Κ US-L US-М FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS **Document Number** Date Country Name Classification Country Code-Number-Kind Code MM-YYYY Ν O Р Q R s Т

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

	NOIS ATEN DOCUMENTS					
*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)				
	U					
	٧					
	w					
	х					

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

	—		_ '						
РТО	Pag (mod		MERCE	ATTY DOCKET NO. 00862.022497	APPLICA	TION NO. 10/059,1	16		
AUG 19 204	T OF REI	DEPARTMENT OF COM NT AND TRADEMARK FERENCES CITED BY a several sheets if nece		APPLICANT KIYOFUMI SAKAGUCHI, et al.					
TENTATRAD		o severar sneets ir neet	ssodiy)	FILING DATE January 31, 2002		GROUP			
				U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
*EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME .	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DAT		
TL		6,190,937	02/20/2001	Nauagawa, et al.	438	67			
		6,222,513	03/10/1998	Howard, et al.	345	84			
		6,258,698	07/10/2001	lwasaki, et al.	438	455			
		6,306,729	10/23/2001	Sauaguchi, et al.	438	458			
		6,331,208	12/18/2001	Nishida, et al.	117	89			
		6,342,433	01/29/2002	Ohmi, et al.	438	455			
		6,382,292	05/07/2002	Ohmi, et al.	156	584			
			F	OREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS					
		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSLATIC YES/NO/ OR ABSTRAC		
	EP	1 122 794	08/08/2001	Europe					
	EF	858 110	06/1 2/1 998	Europe					
	EP	849 788	06/24/1998	Europe					
TL	JP	11-316397	11/16/1999	Japan	G02F		Abstract		
			OTHER DOCUMENT(S	S) (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)					
T		Shimoda, T., Electron Dev New York, N 7803-5411-7.	rices Meeting 19 Y: IEEE, US, Aug	Free Technology By Laser Anneal 99. IEDM. Technical Digest. Was g. 1, 1999 (1999-08-01), pages 289-	ing (SUFT shington, I 292, XP00	LA)" Interi DC, Dec. 5 0933199 IS	national -8, 1999, SBN: 0-		
			AND AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF				······		
	<u></u>		>		~ -				
EXAMINER				DATE CONSIDERED OUT	$\cdot OZ$				

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

LIST OF REFERENCES CITED BY APPLICANT(S) (Use several sheets if necessary)

THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O

ATTY DOCKET NO. 00862.022497

APPLICATION NO.

Not Yet Assigned

APPLICANT

FILING DATE

Kiyofumi Sakaguchi, et al.

GROUP

Currently herewith Not Yet Assigned U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS EXAMINER DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE FILING DAT NAME CLASS SUBCLASS 5,206,749 4/27/93 Zavracky et al. 359 59 5,256,562 10/26/93 Vu et al. 437 86 5,811,348 9/22/98 Matsushita, et al. 438 455 6,107,213 8/22/00 Tayanaka, et al. 762 438 5,985,742 11/16/99 Henley, et al. 438 515 TU 5,856,229 1/5/99 Sakaguchi, et al. 438 406 FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS DOCUMENT NUMBER TRANSLATIC DATE COUNTRY **CLASS** SUBCLASS YES/NO/ OR ABSTRAC 9-312349 12/2/97 Japan Abstrar 886 300 12/23/98 EP OTHER DOCUMENT(S) (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

*EXAMPLES: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

DATE CONSIDERED

Sheet 1 of 1

Oct-02

EXAMINER



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADERARK OFFICE

JUN 1 5 2004

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. (2023)

APPLICATION NO.

FILING DATE

FIRET NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

CONFIRMATION NO.

10/059,116

01/31/2002

Kiyofumi Sakaguchi

00862.022497

8415

5514

7590

03/27/2003

FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112

EXAMINER

LE, THAO X

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2814

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
3126	10/059,116	
Office Action Summary	<u></u>	SAKAGUCHI ET AL. Art Unit
JUN 1 5 200	K Thosa V I	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N 136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A g date of this communication, even if	MONTH(S) FROM reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely.
20/ This set is sommanication(s) filed on 10/		
2b)× 1	nis action is non-final.	
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	7	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 21</u> is/are pending in the ap	olication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,8-12,14-15,17-19,21</u> is/are reject	cted	
7) Claim(s) <u>5,7,13 and 16</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	: election requirement	
Approaction Fapers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		·
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10 February 2003 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b) obje	cted to by the Evenings
I request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abevar	oce See 37 CED 1 85(-)
, — Proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved h) die	sapproved by the Examiner.
in approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	V to this Office action	examiner.
12) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	oriority under 35 U.S.C. &	110(a) (d) a= (5
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,	1 13(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents I	have been received in Ann	Jiankin Al
Copies of the certified copies of the priority	/ doormants barrel	olication No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not rea	Coived
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic p	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	110(a) (to a provide to 1
, — "Showion of the lutelon landhada brow	nional amult.	
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic pattachment(s)	oriority under 35 U.S.C. §§	120 and/or 121.
_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Sum 5) Notice of Infor 6) Other:	nmary (PTO-413) Paper Ño(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	_	

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims 12, 19 and 21 are withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Tamaki. Rejections based on the newly cited reference follow.

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: A method of separation of semiconductor device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The limitation 'after forming a protective film on inner walls of pores in the porous layer' is unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2814

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1-3, 6, 8-12, 14-15, 17-19, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6136668 to Tamaki et al.

Regarding to claim 1, 21, Tamaki discloses a method of manufacturing a thin film semiconductor device fig. 40-42, comprising: a step of preparing a member having on a separation layer 31, a semiconductor film 1 having a semiconductor element and/or semiconductor integrated circuit 3, a step of forming kerfs from the semiconductor film side of the member, fig. 41, and a separation step of, after the kerf formation step, separating a desire region of the semiconductor element, column 2 line 25-50.

But Tamaki does not expressly disclose the method comprises forming cracks in the separation layer.

However, Tamaki discloses the separation layer 31 is dissolved to separate the semiconductor chip, column 2 line 42. Therefore, it would have been obvious in this

Art Unit: 2814

dissolving process that cracks are being formed in order to separate the semiconductor device from the plate.

Regarding to claims 2, 14-15, 17, Tamaki discloses the method comprises the step of injecting a fluid into the kerfs; the fluid fills the grooves by dipping in organic solvent, fig. 42.

Regarding to claims 3, 6, 8-12, 18-19 Tami discloses the method further comprises forming a porous layer 31 on the surface of a semiconductor substrate, forming the semiconductor film 1 on the porous layer 31 and forming the semiconductor element 3, fig. 40, wherein the semiconductor substrate 1 is a single-crystal silicon, column 1 line 32, wherein the kerfs are formed in the semiconductor film by etching, fig. 41, column 2 line 40, wherein the kerfs are formed such that bottom portions thereof are located in the separation layer, fig. 41. Obviously the remaining member 21 can be re-used.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 5, 7, 13, 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
 - a. With respect to claim 5 and 7, the prior art of record fails to disclose all the limitations of claim 5 and 7 including implanting ion from the surface side to predetermined depth to form the separation layer.
 - b. With respect to claims 13, 16 the prior art fail to disclose all the limitation in claim 13 including the separation step is performed by injecting a high-pressure fluid from the kerfs and static pressure.

Art Unit: 2814

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 and 21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao X Le whose telephone number is 703-306-0208. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M Fahmy can be reached on 703-308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Thao X. Le March 7, 2003

PHAT X. CAO PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5

Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination 10/059,116 SAKAGUCHI ET AL. Notice of References Cited Examiner Art Unit Page 1 of 1 Thao X Le 2814 **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS Document Number** Date Classification Name Country Code-Number-Kind Code MM-YYYY US-6,136,668 10-2000 Tamaki et al. 438/462 Α В US-С US-US-D US-Ε US-F บร-G Н US-USı US-US-Κ US-L US-М FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS **Document Number** Date Country Name Classification Country Code-Number-Kind Code MM-YYYY Ν 0 Ρ Q R s T

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
	w	
	х	

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.



UNITED STATES PATENDAND TRADEMARK OFFICE

JUN 1 5 2004

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Translaturk Office United States Patent and Translaturk Office United States Patents

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.

FILING DATE 01/31/2002

FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO

MAST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

CONFIRMATION NO.

10/059,144

Takao Yonehara

00862.022498

5995

5514

7590

30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112

03/01/2004

EXAMINER

KEBEDE, BROOK

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2823

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		SIES					
•		6.	Application No	D	Applicant(s)		
• (); ;	Office Action Summary	N 1 5 Shak	10/059,144		YONEHARA ET A	۸L.	
	Fig.	2064	Examiner		Art Unit		
	The MAILING DATE of this 'A	OF THE OFFICE	Brook Kebede		2823		
	• •					dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	2 ··· 2	•			,		
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>03 No</u>	vember 2003.				
	nis action is FINAL.		ction is non-fina	al.			
3)∭ Sii clo	nce this application is in conditionsed in accordance with the practice.	n for allowand	ce except for fo	www.al	secution as to the	merits is	
Disposition	of Claims		parte dadyo,	1000 0.0. 11, 43,	5 O.G. 213.		
4)⊠ Cl	aim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the	e application					
	Of the above claim(s) 11 and 1		rawn from cons	ideration			
5)∐ Cla	aim(s) is/are allowed.	• •		nooration.			
	aim(s) <u>1-7 and 10</u> is/are rejected			, :			
	aim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are objected to						
8)∐ Cla	aim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or e	election require	ment.			
Application		•	÷.				
9) <u></u> The	e specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.	±. ≥.				
10)∐ The	e drawing(s) filed on is/ard	е: а) 🗌 ассер	ted or b)∐ obj	ected to by the Ex	aminer.		
App	olicant may not request that any obj	ection to the dra	awing(s) be held	in abevance Sec 1	27 CED 4 05(-)		
176	piacement drawing sneet(s) includir	ng the correction	n is required if the	drawing(s) is object	stad to Con 27 OFF	R 1.121(d),	
11,0	oath of deciaration is objected	to by the Exar	niner. Note the	attached Office A	ction or form PTC	D-152.	
Priority una	er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•				
1.[<u>2</u> 2.[_	copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies Copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies Copies of the certified copies	documents he documents he documents he documents he documents he documents	nave been recei	ived. ived in Application		tage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.							
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 							
ttachment(s)							
Notice of R	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🗍 1	nterview Summary (PT	(h.d.13) Panas Natio		
Notice of D	Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Find Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Find Disclosure Statement(s)	PTO-948) Paper No(s)	5)	lotice of Informal Pater	nt Application (PTO-1	52)	
Patent and Trademar	1k Office						

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicants' election with traverse of the Group I invention, claim(s) 1-10 in the response 1. filed on November 3, 2003, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "there would not be undue burden in examining the two groups of claims in a single application. In particular, MPEP § 808 makes clear that in order to require restriction between independent or distinct inventions, reasons for insisting upon a restriction requirement, such as undue burden, must also be shown. In the present instance, it is not believed that there would be undue burden in examining the two groups of claims in a single application, since the two groups of claims are not so different as would require a burden on the Examiner that is significantly beyond that of the normal burdens of examination." This is not found persuasive. A restriction requirement between one set of product claims and a set of process claims was issued in the Office action that was mailed on September 29, 2003. "Section 121 [of Title 35 USC] permits a restriction for 'independent and distinct inventions,' which the PTO construes to mean that the sets of claims must be drawn to separately patentable inventions." See Applied Materials Inc. v. Advanced Semiconductor Materials 40 USPQ2d 1481, 1492 (Fed. Cir 1996)(Archer, C.J., concurring inpart and dissenting in-part). A product and the process of making the product are "two independent, albeit related inventions." See In re Taylor, 149 USPQ 615, 617 (CCPA 1966). "When two sets of claims filed in the same application are patentably distinct or represent independent inventions, the examiner is to issue a restriction requirement." See In re Berg, 46 USPQ2d 1226, 1233 n.10 (Fed. Cir. 1998).

Art Unit: 2823

The examiner, in issuing a restriction requirement, must demonstrate "one way distinctiveness." Applied Materials Inc. at 1492. As stated within the restriction requirement, "inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f))." In this application, the examiner restricted the product claims from the process claims on the grounds that "the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as a process wherein ion implantation process can be performed instead of using pressure of a fluid during separation step of separating the member at separation layer in order to process device of Group II, (i.e., Smart Cut process)" and that, as a result, a restriction was necessary.

In addition to one way distinctiveness, the examiner must show "why it would be a burden to examine both sets of claims." Applied Materials Inc. at 1492. "A serious burden on the examiner may be prima facie shown if the examiner shows by appropriate explanation either separate classification, separate status in the art, or a different field of search." MPEP 803. An explanation was provided in the restriction requirement. Specifically, in addition to being distinct, the examiner indicated that restriction is proper because the product claims and the process claims "have acquired a separate status in the art."

The criteria of distinctness and burdensomeness have been met, as demonstrated hereinabove. Accordingly, the restriction requirement in this application is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Art Unit: 2823

2. Claims 11 and 12 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention, the requirement having been traversed in the response filed on November 3, 2003.

Priority

3. Acknowledgment is made of applicants' claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on January 31, 2001. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 2001-023847 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Status of the Claims

- 4. Claims 1-12 are pending in the application.
- 5. Claims 11 and 12are withdrawn form further consideration by the examiner as indicated in Paragraph 2 herein above.
- 6. Claims 1-10 are treated on the merits as set forth herein below.

Drawings

7. Figures 6A-6C should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

8. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: --METHOD OF MANUFACTURING THIN-FILM SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE--.

Art Unit: 2823

Claim Objections

9. Claims 1 and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the step of preparing a member" in line 3. The Examiner suggests to change "the step of preparing a member" to -- a step of preparing a member-- in order to establish proper antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the separation step of separating" in line 6. The Examiner suggests to change "the separation step of separating" to -- a separation step of separating-- in order to establish proper antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the chip forming step" in line 8. The Examiner suggests to change "the chip forming step" to -- a chip forming step-- in order to establish proper antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "the step of preparing a member" in line 3. The Examiner suggests to change "the step of preparing a member" to -- a step of preparing a member-- in order to establish proper antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "the chip forming step" in line 6. The Examiner suggests to change "the chip forming step" to -- a chip forming step-- in order to establish proper antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "the separation step" in line 8. The Examiner suggests to change "the separation step" to -- a separation step-- in order to establish proper antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

10. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or

Art Unit: 2823

improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

11. Claims 1-7 and 10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,677,183. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following reasons:

Re claim 1, the scope of the claimed limitation of the instant application is essentially the same as the claimed limitations of claims 1 and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,677,183. The limitations include a method of manufacturing a thin-film semiconductor device, comprising: the step of preparing a member having a semiconductor film with a semiconductor element semiconductor integrated circuit on a separation layer (see Claim 1, lines 1-5); the separation step of separating the member at the separation layer by a pressure of a fluid (see Claim 1, lines 8-13); and the chip forming step after the separation step, forming the semiconductor film into chips (see Claim 15, lines 1-2).

Re claim 2, as applied to claim 1 above, the scope of the claimed limitation of the instant application is essentially the same as the claimed limitations of claims 1 and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,677,183. In addition, the limitations wherein the member is obtained by forming a porous layer on a surface of a semiconductor substrate, forming the semiconductor film on a surface of

Art Unit: 2823

the porous layer, and then forming the semiconductor element and/or semiconductor integrated circuit is claimed in Claim 3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,677,183 (see Claim 3, lines 1-5).

Re claim 3, as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, the scope of the claimed limitation of the instant application is essentially the same as the claimed limitations of claims 1, 3, and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,677,183. Further the limitation, wherein the semiconductor film is formed on the surface of the porous layer after forming a protective film on inner walls of pores in the porous layer is claimed in Claim 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,677,183 (see Claim 4, lines 1-6).

Re claim 4, as applied to claim 1 above, the scope of the claimed limitation of the instant application is essentially the same as the claimed limitations of the instant application is essentially the same as the claimed limitations of claims 1 and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,677,183. Furthermore, the limitation, wherein the member is obtained by forming the semiconductor element and/or semiconductor integrated circuit on a surface of a semiconductor substrate and implanting ions from the surface side to a predetermined depth to form the separation layer is claimed in Claim 18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,677,183 (see Claim 18, lines 12-16).

Re claim 5, as applied to claims 1 and 2 above the scope of the claimed limitation of the instant application is essentially the same as the claimed limitations of claims 1, 3, and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,677,183. Further the limitation, wherein the semiconductor substrate is a single-crystal silicon substrate or a compound semiconductor substrate is claimed in Claim 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,677,183 (see Claim 5, lines 1-3).

Re claim 6, as applied to claims 1 and 4 above, the scope of the claimed limitation of the instant application is essentially the same as the claimed limitations of claims 1, 15 and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,677,183. In addition, the limitation wherein the semiconductor substrate is a

Art Unit: 2823

single-crystal silicon substrate or a compound semiconductor substrate is claimed in Claim 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,677,183 (see Claim 5, lines 1-3).

Re claim 7, as applied to claims 1, 2 and 5 above, the scope of the claimed limitation of the instant application is essentially the same as the claimed limitations of claims 1, 3, and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,677,183. Further, the limitation wherein the separation step is executed by applying the pressure of the fluid to the separation layer also claimed in Claims 10, 12 and 13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,677,183 (see Claim 10, lines 1-6, Claim 12, lines 1-3, Claim 13, lines 1-3).

Re claim 10, the scope of the claimed limitation of the instant application is essentially the same as the claimed limitations of claims 1 and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,677,183. The limitations include a method of manufacturing a thin-film semiconductor device, comprising: the step of preparing a member having a semiconductor film with a semiconductor element and/or semiconductor integrated circuit on a separation layer (see Claim 1, lines 8-13); the chip forming step of forming the member into chips in desired regions; and the separation step of, after the chip forming step, separating the member at the separation layer (see Claim 15, lines 1-2).

Therefore, the conflicting claims are not patentably distinct from each other.

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 8 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record neither anticipates nor renders obvious the claimed subject matter of the instant application as a whole either taken alone or in combination, in particular, prior art

Art Unit: 2823

of record does not teach "wherein after the separation step, the separation layer remaining on the semiconductor film side is removed, and then, the chip forming step is executed," as recited in claim 8 and "wherein after the separation step and the chip forming step, the step of removing the separation layer remaining on the semiconductor film side is executed," as recited in claim 9.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure Fonstad, Jr. et al. (US/6,455,398), Nakagawa et al. (US/6,500,731), Fukunaga (US/6,602,761), and Iwane et al. (US/6,682,9900) also disclose similar inventive subject matter.

Fonstad, Jr. et al. (US/6,455,398) discloses method of bonding a silicon substrate to group III-V material substrate, the method includes annealing the substrate and thinning the substrate.

Nakagawa et al. (US/6,500,731) disclose a method of fabricating a semiconductor device a method includes forming a porous separation layer having prularity semiconductor layers which the semiconductor device separately formed on each the semiconductor layers.

Fukunaga (US/6,602,761) discloses process for fabricating an SOI substrate and the process includes forming single crystal silicon substrate and anodizing the single silicon substrate in order to form porous regions.

Iwane et al. (US/6,682,9900) disclose separation method of semiconductor layer and producing a solar cell.

However, the prior art fail to anticipate or render obvious the claimed limitation of the instant application as recited in claims 8 and 9 either taken alone or in combination.

Correspondence

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brook Kebede whose telephone number is (571) 272-1862. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (571) 272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brook Kebede · Examiner Art Unit 2823

BK February 2, 2004 Brook Kerede

٠ •,			Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/F	Patent Under
Notice of References Cited		10/059,144	Reexamination YONEHARA ET AL.		
	The section of the se		Examiner	Art Unit	
			Brook Kebede	2823	Page 1 of 1
		U	S. PATENT DOCUMENTS		
*	Document Number	Date			

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	A	US-6,455,398	09-2002	Fonstad et al.	438/459
	В	US-6,500,731	12-2002	Nakagawa et al.	438/455
	С	US-6,602,761	08-2003	Fukunaga, Takeshi	438/459
	D	US-6,677,183	01-2004	Sakaguchi et al.	438/113
	E	US-6,682,990	01-2004	lwane et al.	438/458
	F	US-			+30/430
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	1	US-		·	
	J	US-			
	к	US-			
	L	US-			
\exists	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*	T	TOTAL PRODUCTION OF THE PRODUC
<u> </u>		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	-
	V	
	w	
	x	
* ^ ~~	 	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

FORM PTO 1449 (n	nodifled)	-	ATTY DOCKET NO.	1		
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE LIST OF REFERENCES CITED BY APPLICANT(S) (Use several sheets if necessary)			00862.022498	APPLICATION NO. Not Yet Assigned		
			APPLICANT Taka Yonehara, et al.			
			FILING DATE Currently herewith		SROUP Not Yet	Assigned
			U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
*EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE
Bu	5,206,749	4/27/93	Zavracky et al.	359	59	1 d 4:
KN	5,256,562	10/26/93	Vu et al.	437	86	15.51
Bil	5,811,348	9/22/98	Matsushita, et al.	438	455	10/01
Bu	6,107,213	8/22/00	Tayanaka, et al.	438	762	3
Bu	5,985,742	11/16/99	Henley, et al.	438	515	
bil	5,856,229	1/5/99	Sakaguchi, et al.	438	406	
				-		
			FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS			
	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSLATION YES/NO/ OR ABSTRACT
Bu	9-312349	12/2/97	Japan			Abstract
Bu	886 300	12/23/98	EP			
						· ·
		OTHER DOCUMEN	IT(S) (Including Author, Title, Date, Perlinent Pages, Etc.)			
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1 12 1					
AINER	Brook Kebe	coll	DATE CONSIDERED 2/2/20	04		

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include up of this form with next communication to applicant.

Sheet 1 of 1

FORM 210, 1449 (m	rodified)		ATTY DOCKET NO. 00862.022498.	ADBLICA	70440 401	050.444	
U.S PA	EDEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE TENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE						
LIST OF R	REFERENCES CITED BY APPLICATE SEVERAL Sheets if necessary)	ant(s)	APPLICANT TAKAO YONEHARA, et	al.			
	110		FILING DATE January 31, 2002	T	GROUP 2812		
JAN	1 8 2003		U.S. DATENT DOOMS TO				
EXAMINER INITIAL	OCUMENT NUMBER		U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS		T	EN INC DATE	
1 × 22	JUNE LA	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE	
bu	6,075,280	06/13/00	Yung et al.	257	620	11/	
BU	6,136,668	10/24/00	Tamaki, et al.	438	462		
Bu	6,186,384	02/13/01	Sawada	225	2		
Би	6,465,329	10/15/02	Glenn	438	462		
BU	2002/0076904	06/20/02	lmler	438	462	//	
Bu	2002/0100941	08/01/02	Yonehara, et al.	257	359	1	
		FOR	EIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS				
	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSLATION YES/NO/ OR ABSTRACT	
						OR ABSTRACT	
					-	·	
	+						
	1	·.					
	OTHER	R DOCUMENT(S) (I	Including Author, Title, Date, Perlinent Pages, Etc.)		<u>. </u>		
			· , ·				
		· ·		 .			
							
		-					
EXAMINER	bowou Kehed	u	DATE CONSIDERED 2/2/20	04			

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

۱۰۰ مر 			1.5				大名
FORM PTQ 1	11.5.1	DEPARTMENT OF COM	MERCE AUG 1 9 200		APPLICAT	100 NO. 10/	059,144
LIS	PATE T OF RE (Us	ERENCES CITED BY BE Several sheets if necessity	OFFICE APPLICATION ISSARY) TRADENIA	PLICANT TAKAO YONEHARA, et al	•		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MAUCI	FILING DATE January 31, 2002		GROUP 281	2
				U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS			
*EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE
Bu	-	6,190,937	02/20/2001	Nauagawa, et al.	438	67	
Bu		6,222,513	03/10/1998	Howard, et al.	345	84	
Bu		6,258,698	07/10/2001	lwasaki, et al.	438	455	
BK		6,306,729	10/23/2001	Sauaguchi, et al.	438	458	
Bil	ļ	6,331,208	12/18/2001	Nishida, et al.	117	89	
BR	ļ	6,342,433	01/29/2002	Ohmi, et al.	438	455	
BU		6,382,292	05/07/2002	Ohmi, et al.	156	584	
	\vdash				·		
		Y	FC	DREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS			
		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSLATION YES/NO/ OR ABSTRACT
BIL	EP	1 122 794	08/08/2001	Europe			
BU	EP	858 110	08/12/1998	Europe			
BU	EP	849 788	06/24/1998	Europe	-		
by.	JP	11-316397	11/16/1999	Japan	G02F		Abstract
			OTHER DOCUMENT(S) (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)	 _		
Bu		Liection Devi	et al, "Surface F ices Meeting 199 ⁄: IEEE, US, Aug	ree Technology By Laser Annealing 99. IEDM. Technical Digest. Washi ust 1, 1999 (1999-08-01), pages 289	natan D	C Daa E	4-0 4000
1					•		
			2 /		,		
KAMINER		voou Ke	bede	DATE CONSIDERED 2/2	12000	4	

XAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include py of this form with next communication to applicant.